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METHODS

An electronic questionnaire was piloted locally and subsequently distributed to all members of the BSG liver section and BASH. The questionnaire aimed to assess attitudes towards the optimum timing of supportive and palliative care discussions and interventions in liver disease, the current availability of specialist supportive and palliative care services to patients with liver disease, and the clinical and resource barriers facing in improving supportive and palliative care services. Ten questionnaire respondents were purposively selected to represent the breadth of hepatology services across the UK (65 gastroenterologists to quaternary transplant consultants), and invited to take part in an in-depth recorded interview addressing the same themes.

Interviews were digitally recorded, transcribed, and analysed using grounded theory. Analysis was aided by Novo™ qualitative analysis software.

RESULTS

Questionnaire

• 308 of 908 questionnaire were returned (33.9% response rate)

• With respect to timing of supportive and palliative care interventions (in response to a series of clinical vignettes – figure 1):
  - Over 90% of respondents considered supportive and palliative care referral and interventions appropriate in cases of diuretic resistant ascites and HCC outside transplant criteria.
  - A minority of respondents considered supportive and palliative care interventions and discussions appropriate in cases where disease trajectory was uncertain (e.g. decompensated HCV cirrhosis or alcoholic hepatitis). This included patients at the point of listing for transplantation.

• With respect to availability of supportive and palliative care services:
  - When comparing cases of severe decompensated disease to advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), despite similar proportions of respondents considering it clinically appropriate, referral to specialist supportive and palliative care services in routine practice was significantly more common in HCC.

• With respect to barriers to improving supportive and palliative care services (figure 2)
  - Across all respondents, the absence of routine consideration amongst physicians, was considered the most important barrier.
  - Lack of resources and the absence of an established referral framework were more commonly considered a significant barrier amongst physicians working outside transplant centres.
  - The unpredictable clinical trajectory of liver disease was a commonly cited reason for reluctance to introducing supportive and palliative care at an earlier stage in disease management (e.g. at point of decompensation).

Qualitative Analysis

• Five key themes emerged from qualitative analysis of interview transcriptions (figure 3). Amongst these concern regarding patients’ understanding of the term “palliative care” and a difficulty in predicting trajectory in liver disease stood out as a key reasons why timely supportive and palliative care interventions remain rare in the context of advanced liver disease.

CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER WORK

• Hepatologists’ attitudes towards integrating supportive and palliative care in the management of advanced liver disease are broadly positive, however the prmary of malignant disease, difficulties in managing the uncertain trajectory of decompensation, and a paucity of evidence based models of care are demonstrated.

• Development of evidence based interventions which facilitate parallel integration of supportive and palliative care with ongoing active management are required.
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