This end of life care profile provides a snapshot of this upper tier local authority's position compared to England and its Strategic Health Authority (SHA). It can be used to benchmark and review the local authority's position over time. Commissioners and providers of end of life care can use the profile when discussing service need. If you would like to see how this local authority compares with others, then please use the End of Life Care Profiles interactive tool on the National End of Life Care Intelligence Network website: www.endoflifecare-intelligence.org.uk/profiles.aspx

Local authority population: 236,760  
SHA population: 7,825,177

How to read the indicator spine chart

See the spine chart on the next page. This summarises the local authority position compared to England.

- Each indicator is numbered. Each number corresponds to a definition on the next page. The definitions give you more information about the indicator and its data source. More detailed definitions are given in the Indicators Metadata Guide. It is especially important to read these for the social care indicators, which are included in these profiles for the first time.
- The two columns immediately to the right of the indicator name give i) the underlying number for that indicator, from which ii) the 'indicator value' (highlighted in grey) is calculated. The indicator value is what is plotted on the spine.
- On the spine, the light grey bar shows the range of values found in England. The dark grey sections mark out the range within which the middle half of the observed values lie (25th to 75th percentile).
### Indicator spine chart

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>LA number</th>
<th>LA indicator value</th>
<th>England average</th>
<th>England lowest</th>
<th>England range</th>
<th>England highest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Place of death</strong></td>
<td>Percentage of deaths in hospital*</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>61.1%</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
<td>87.2%</td>
<td>90.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of deaths in own home</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of deaths in hospice*</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of deaths in care homes</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cause of death</strong></td>
<td>Percentage of deaths from respiratory disease (underlying cause)</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of deaths from respiratory disease (mentions)</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>39.7%</td>
<td>34.2%</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
<td>50.3%</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of deaths from cancer (underlying cause)</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
<td>31.4%</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of deaths from cardiovascular disease (underlying cause)</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
<td>29.6%</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of deaths from liver disease (mentions)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of deaths from renal disease (mentions)</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of deaths from Alzheimers, dementia &amp; senility (mentions)</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deaths in hospital</strong></td>
<td>Percentage of terminal admissions that are emergencies</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>86.7%</td>
<td>89.7%</td>
<td>76.1%</td>
<td>97.0%</td>
<td>97.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of terminal admissions aged 85+</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
<td>37.8%</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
<td>49.4%</td>
<td>49.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of terminal admissions that are 8 days or longer</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>51.6%</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
<td>37.6%</td>
<td>57.8%</td>
<td>57.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average number of bed days per admission ending in death</td>
<td>10,244</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Care homes</strong></td>
<td>Number of care homes per 1.000 population aged 75+</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of care home beds per 1.000 population aged 75+</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>114.1</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>169.6</td>
<td>169.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of care homes achieving Gold Standard Framework</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social care (SC)</strong></td>
<td>Persons (aged 65+) discharged from hospital per 100,000 aged 65+</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.715</td>
<td>2.715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average user experience score (max. score 24), persons aged 65+</td>
<td>11,775</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Persons (65+) receiving Self Directed Support (per 100,000 aged 65+)</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>2.826</td>
<td>2.935</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>7.619</td>
<td>7.619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delayed transfers of care: persons (all ages) (per 100,000 aged 65+)</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2.028</td>
<td>2.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delayed transfers of care: days: all ages (per 100,000 aged 65+)</td>
<td>3,579</td>
<td>14,553</td>
<td>15,956</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>60.629</td>
<td>60.629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Persons (65+) with completed assessment (per 100,000 aged 65+)</td>
<td>1,285</td>
<td>5,225</td>
<td>5,054</td>
<td>1,343</td>
<td>11,209</td>
<td>11,209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Persons (65+) with care package delivered (per 100,000 aged 65+)</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>3,416</td>
<td>3,186</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>8,683</td>
<td>8,683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carers (65+) who received social care support (per 100,000 aged 65+)</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>1,341</td>
<td>1,203</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>5,977</td>
<td>5,977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Persons (65+) who received social care support (per 100,000 aged 65+)</td>
<td>3,055</td>
<td>12,422</td>
<td>8,297</td>
<td>4,819</td>
<td>20,543</td>
<td>20,543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End of Life Care Local Authority Profile - Hounslow</strong></td>
<td>Gross residential and nursing care (£’000s per 100,000 aged 65+)</td>
<td>£24,339</td>
<td>£96,967</td>
<td>£95,849</td>
<td>£33,157</td>
<td>£161,154</td>
<td>£161,154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As indicator 51, less NHS section 256 (£’000s per 100,000 aged 65+)</td>
<td>£21,360</td>
<td>£96,854</td>
<td>£97,239</td>
<td>£32,754</td>
<td>£159,350</td>
<td>£159,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Home care (£’000s per 100,000 aged 65+)</td>
<td>£11,542</td>
<td>£46,932</td>
<td>£25,765</td>
<td>£12,518</td>
<td>£292,012</td>
<td>£292,012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Direct payments (£’000s per 100,000 aged 65+)</td>
<td>£1,443</td>
<td>£5,868</td>
<td>£3,420</td>
<td>£273</td>
<td>£15,457</td>
<td>£15,457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day care or day services (£’000s per 100,000 aged 65+)</td>
<td>£2,625</td>
<td>£10,674</td>
<td>£4,246</td>
<td>£793</td>
<td>£21,608</td>
<td>£21,608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meals (£’000s per 100,000 aged 65+)</td>
<td>£613</td>
<td>£2,492</td>
<td>£905</td>
<td>£0</td>
<td>£7,497</td>
<td>£7,497</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The totals for males and females combined may not equal the 'persons' total, due to rounding. * It is not possible to distinguish between hospital deaths and deaths in specialist palliative care units/hospices that are based in hospitals, so hospital deaths may be an over-count and hospice deaths an under-count.
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- SC - Social Care,
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* Discontinued National Indicator
Place and cause of death

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All causes</th>
<th>Underlying cause of death</th>
<th>Causes mentioned on death certificate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>Cardiovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care home</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospice</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,420</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: i) ‘Underlying cause’ of death is the main cause of death recorded on a death certificate. ‘Causes mentioned’ include the underlying cause and any contributory causes recorded. We have selected the most common underlying causes of death and the most common ‘mentioned’ causes that are demanding of end of life care. ii) While an individual will have only one recorded underlying cause, they may have more than one contributory cause recorded. iii) Numbers are annual averages.

How to interpret your profile

- Be open about what the profile might be telling you.
- Focusing on individual indicators can be misleading, consider the full range of indicators.
- Read the notes on the indicator spine chart to aid interpretation.
- Understanding the context is essential: use the demographic and geographic information at the beginning of the profile to provide context.
- Triangulate the information in the profiles with information from other sources. For example, End of Life Care Quality Assessment (ELCQuA) tool, the local Joint Strategic Needs Assessment, a clinical or organisational audit.
- Recognise the limitations of the data (see the Indicators Metadata Guide on the National End of Life Care Intelligence Network)
- This is the first time these profiles have been produced and, despite our best endeavours, some of the data may be out of date, incorrect or missing. Please send us your comments about the data (see 'Feedback' at the end of this profile).
- Use the profiles to identify further questions that may need to be asked.

Related resources

The National End of Life Care Intelligence Network (NEoLCIN) coordinates statistical information and commissions research on end of life care. It also brings all this data together in one place, enabling commissioners and people working in end of life care to use it to plan, deliver and improve end of life care services. For more information please visit the NEoLCIN website at www.endoflifecare-intelligence.org.uk

- **End of Life Care Profiles** provide data and statistics on end of life care, by PCT and Local Authority areas in England broken down by age, gender, place of death and cause of death. Available in both PDF and Instant Atlas formats.
- **Resources** includes information on research, links to other sources of information and publications produced by the NEoLCIN and other organisations.
- **Data sources** provides a guide and links to key sources of data relating to end of life care.

We are currently developing PDF profiles for Acute Trusts in England. These will be made available on the NEoLCIN website in due course. Please sign up to email alerts to keep up-to-date with developments.

The National End of Life Care Programme works with health and social care services across all sectors in England to improve end of life care for adults by implementing the Department of Health’s End of Life Care Strategy. Its website (www.endoflifecareforadults.nhs.uk) is designed to support health and social care staff working, in any capacity, with people nearing the end of life. It has information on policy and strategy, education and training, research and evaluation and commissioning, as well as case studies, information on care pathways and care settings, news, publications and events.

Feedback

Please let us know:
- If the data is incorrect due to the sources we are using.
- How the profiles have assisted you in identifying changes in policy/practice.
- How we can improve the profiles.
- If you have suggestions for other indicators that we could include in future.
- Any other comments you may have.

Email us at: information@neolcin.nhs.uk